

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Tra. nark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTY, DOCKET NO. 09/086.393 05/28/98 SCHRIMMER 5104/71695 EXAMINER QM21/0512 WELSH & KATZ MARLO.G PAPER NUMBER 120 SOUTH RIVERSIDE PLAZA ART UNIT 22ND FLOOR CHICAGO IL 60606 3711 DATE MAILED: 05/12/99

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION CHA	MADV
OFFICE ACTION SUM	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5-28-98	· .
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to resthe application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time r 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1-19	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement
Application Papers	
	/are objected to by the Examiner. is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	• •
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	• •
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is approved disapproved.
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	is approved disapproved.
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	is approved disapproved.  119(a)-(d).  119cuments have been
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority decrease	is approved disapproved.  119(a)-(d).  119cuments have been
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority decretived. received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau* Certified copies not received:	is approved disapproved.  I19(a)-(d).  ocuments have been  u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureat*  *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	is approved disapproved.  I19(a)-(d).  ocuments have been  u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	is approved disapproved.  I19(a)-(d).  ocuments have been  u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do received. received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § Attachment(s)	is approved disapproved.  I19(a)-(d).  ocuments have been  u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do received. received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureat* Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	is approved disapproved.  I19(a)-(d).  ocuments have been  u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do received. received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau* Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § Attachment(s)	is approved disapproved.  I19(a)-(d).  ocuments have been  u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § Attachment(s)  Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	is approved disapproved.  I19(a)-(d).  ocuments have been  u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority decretived. received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureat *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § Attachment(s)  Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	is approved disapproved.  I19(a)-(d).  ocuments have been  u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/086,393

Art Unit: 3711

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I- Figs. 1-8,

II-Figs. 6-9, and

III-Figs. 11-13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 16 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/086,393

Art Unit: 3711

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the diverse features now claimed but not apparent to the eye from the drawings, e.g., particular assembly steps (claims 13-15), a particular layer that is "polyurethane" (claims 9 and 10), a "timer" (claim 19), and a force actuable switch (claim 18), etc., must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Suitable labels may be applied to the drawings, as shown by Smith et al.

More informative structural details at the pint of novelty must be provided in the Abstract.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Smith et al (205).

Application/Control Number: 09/086,393 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

As understood, only inherent features of the reference golf balls claimed. The burden is on applicant to show that inherence is not involved. Any possible distinctions over said golf ball are deemed obvious ornamental design variants thereof. The life of a battery provides a "timer" inherently, as broadly as recited in claim 19.

Kennedy et al and Allman et al show features of applicant's device.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George J. Marlo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2094. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs from 7:30 am to 7:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeanette E. Chapman, can be reached on (703) 308-1310. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3579.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 3081148.

Marlo/NC

May 10, 1999

GEORGE J. MARLO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2004

3711